



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,945	08/18/2003	Kevin L. Krysiak	WTO148	4281
7590 Terence P. O'Brien Wilson Sporting Goods Co. 8700 W. Bryn Mawr Avenue Chicago, IL 60631			EXAMINER NGUYEN, KIEN T	
			ART UNIT 3711	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS	04/09/2007		PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,945	KRYSIAK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kien T. Nguyen	3711	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2007.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

 | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-13, 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brantley U.S. Patent 5,580,049 in view of Meyer U.S. Patent 5,306,002.

Brantley disclosed a game ball comprising a spherical carcass (12), a one-piece cover (26) surrounding the carcass and bonded to the carcass, the cover having a thickness 0.05-0.3 mm which is less than 0.5 mm; the cover (26) is formed of a polyurethane (column 3, lines 2-9); the carcass includes a bladder (12) and at least one backing layer (18) made of woven fabric of multi-ply yarns embedded with a PVC for stability positioned over the bladder (12).

Regarding claims 1, and 11, it is noted that Brantley utilized a backing layer and the claimed invention directed to a cover being free of a backing layer, and Brantley failed to teach a seamless cover. However, Meyer disclosed that it is well known in the art the use of a game ball having a seamless cover, and it appears that by eliminating the backing layer would reduce the overall weight of the ball. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the cover of Brantley with the seamless cover of Meyer for the purpose of adapting the ball of Brantley to a different sport, and it would have been a matter of design choice to eliminate the backing layer of

Art Unit: 3711

Brantley for the purpose of reducing its weight to accommodate any specific type of play environment.

Regarding claims 5-8, 16-19, it is noted that the combination of Brantley and Meyer failed to specifically disclose the specific density of the cover material as set forth therein. However, the density of the cover material varies with the specific play environment as long as it does not compromise the playability of the ball. Furthermore, the specification of the present application does not specifically point out the significant advantage of different densities. Accordingly, it would have been a matter of design choice to provide the cover of Brantley as modified by Meyer with any of the recited densities as along as it does not compromise the playability of the ball.

Regarding the specific method of applying polyurethane as recited in claims 2, 11, and 25, such methods are very well known in the art and commonly used in the sport balls industry. Accordingly, applying the polyurethane cover to the ball of Brantley as modified by Meyer with any of the well-known method merely a common practice.

Claims 3, 4, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brantley as modified by Meyer as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Way U.S. Patent 2,945,693.

It is noted that the ball of Brantley and Meyer failed to show a layer of thread wound around the bladder as claimed. However, such layer of thread is extremely well known in the art as evidenced by Way for the purpose of increasing the durability of the ball. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art provide

the bladder of Brantley and Meyer with a layer of thread for the reason as set forth above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Kien T. Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3711

Ktn